

In: KSC-CC-2019-07

**Before:** The Specialist Chamber of the Constitutional Court

Judge Vidar Stensland, Presiding

Judge Roland Dekkers

Judge Antonio Balsamo

**Registrar:** Dr Fidelma Donlon

Filing Participant: Specialist Prosecutor

Date: 10 December 2019

Language: English

**Classification**: Public

Prosecution request for authorisation to respond to filing KSC-CC-2019-07/F00009

Specialist Prosecutor's Office Specialist Counsel for Mr

Jack Smith Driton Lajci

Mr Toby Cadman

- 1. On 9 December 2019, Mr Driton Lajci ('Applicant') filed a Reply¹ to the Response² of the Specialist Prosecutor's Office ('SPO').
- 2. The Rules<sup>3</sup> do not make provision for submissions in the form of a 'reply' on the part of an Applicant, absent an invitation from the assigned panel of the Specialist Chamber of the Constitutional Court.<sup>4</sup> The Panel<sup>5</sup> should either (i) disregard the Reply, or (ii) authorise the SPO to respond thereto.
- 3. If the Panel does not intend to disregard the Reply, authorising the SPO to respond is warranted given that the Reply, *inter alia*: (i) makes submissions regarding admissibility for the first time, after the Applicant neglected to do so in the original referral;<sup>6</sup> (ii) attempts to reframe the basis of the original referral;<sup>7</sup> (iii) contains submissions which are factually and/or legally incorrect;<sup>8</sup> and (iv) mischaracterises SPO submissions.<sup>9</sup>

Word count: 309

\_\_\_\_\_

Jack South

**Jack Smith** 

**Specialist Prosecutor** 

Tuesday, 10 December 2019 At The Hague, the Netherlands

**KSC-CC-2019-07** 1 10 December 2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defence Reply to the Prosecution Response to Mr. Driton Lajci's Referral to the Constitutional Court, KSC-CC-2019-07/F00009, 9 December 2019 ('Reply').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prosecution response to Mr Driton Lajci's Referral to the Constitutional Court Panel on the Legality of the Interview Procedure, KSC-CC-2019-07/F00008, 2 December 2019 ('Response').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Rules of Procedure for the Specialist Chamber of the Constitutional Court, KSC-BD-03/Rev1/2017 ('Rules').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Rules, Rule 15. *See, in particular,* Rule 15(2) (indicating that the Constitutional Court Panel may request additional information or hear additional submissions, as deemed necessary, in relation to a referral).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> On 15 November 2019, the President of the Specialist Chambers issued a decision assigning a panel of the Specialist Chamber of the Constitutional Court to rule on the Applicant's referral ('Panel') (Decision to Assign Judges to a Constitutional Court Panel, KSC-CC-2019-07/F00002, 15 November 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Reply, KSC-CC-2019-07/F00009, paras 6-21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See, for example, Reply, KSC-CC-2019-07/F00009, paras 9, 29-30, 39, 45-46.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See, for example, Reply, KSC-CC-2019-07/F00009, paras 8, 12, 33-34, 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See, for example, Reply, KSC-CC-2019-07/F00009, paras 8, 13-15, 17-21, 29, 36, 38, 45-46.